

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/983,020	10/22/2001	Steven W. Homans	1496-205	7728
6449 7.	590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

09/983,020 Office Action Summary Examiner

Applicant(s) HOMANS ET AL. **Art Unit**

1631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

Application No.

Michael Borin

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Any i		s after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	led on <u>30 October 2003</u> .
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)[n for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
-	Claim(s) are subject to rest	iction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers	
10) <u> </u>	Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	he Examiner. e: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the International See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. No The translation of the foreign In Acknowledgment is made of a claim Acknowledgment is made of a claim	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial Number: 09/983020 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-15 are pending.

Response to restriction requirement filed 10/30/2003 is acknowledged. Applicant elected, without traverse, Group I, claims 1-24. Claim 15 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected groups. Cancellation of claim 15 is requested.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants' Information Disclosure Statements filed 05/01/2002, and 11/04/2003 have been received and entered into the application. Accordingly, as reflected by the attached completed copies of forms PTO-1449, the cited references have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 1631

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious Mueller, G. et al (Journal of Molecular Biology 2000, Vol. 300(1), pages 197-212; reference #37 in IDS) in view of Al-Hashimi et al. (Journal of Magnetic Resonance 2000, Vol.143(2), 402-406; reference #36 in IDS).

Mueller et al teach method for determining the global fold of maltodextrinbinding protein by refining of NMR analysis of the protein obtained from measurements in non-aligned medium with dipolar coupling restraints obtained from measurements of dipolar couplings in partially aligned state in liquid crystal medium.

The reference does not teach measurement of dipolar couplings in two different steps of partial alignment. However, Al-Hashimi et al teach that the method can be improved by measuring in more than one medium because determining of relative fragment orientations based on a single set of residual dipolar couplings is inherently hindered by the multi-valued nature of the angular dependence of the dipolar interaction. Even with unlimited dipolar data, this gives rise to a fourfold degeneracy in fragment orientations. Measuring in two different media completely removes this

Serial Number: 09/983020

Art Unit: 1631

degeneracy by combining residual dipolar coupling measurements from two alignment media. Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to be motivated to improve method of Mueller by measuring partial alignment in two different states as described by Al-Hashimi.

Page 4

In regard to dependent claims, if there are any differences between Applicant's claimed methods and that of the prior art, the differences would be appear minor in nature. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicants' invention was made to determine all operable conditions for NMR analysis because such conditions are art recognized result-effective variables which would have been routinely determined and optimized in the art.

Conclusion.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4506. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3014.

Serial Number: 09/983020 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 24, 2003

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Manh

mlb